

REMARKS

The rejections presented in the Office Action dated June 16, 2005 have been considered.

Claim 1 is amended to include the limitations of allowable claim 4 and further amended to clarify that the terminal controller selects one or more of the streams of video data for output. Support for this change may be found on page 5 of the specification at line 22 as well as in claim 1 as originally filed. No new search is thought to be necessary in view of the interpretation of the language prior to amendment offered in the Office Action. Similar amendments are made to claims 31 and 32. Claim 20 is amended for purposes of clarification.

The amendments are made for purposes of expediting prosecution, and the claim cancellations are made without prejudice. Applicants do not acquiesce to the rejections of the claims made under 35 USC §112, nor do Applicants acquiesce to the various rejections of the claims under 35 USC §102 and §103 over the prior art. Applicants reserve the right to pursue the subject matter of the original claims (prior to amendment) and subject matter of the canceled claims in subsequent prosecution and also to explain the that the claims are not shown to be anticipated and not shown to be obvious over the prior art.

Claims 1-3, 5-26 and 31-35 are pending in the application and understood to be in condition for allowance.

Withdrawal of the rejections and reconsideration of the claims are respectfully requested in view of the remarks set forth above.

Respectfully submitted,

CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC
1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390
Saint Paul, MN 55120
(651) 686-6633 x 102

By: _____


Name: LeRoy D. Maunu
Reg. No.: 35,274